## CITY OF MERCER ISLAND

COMMUNITY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT
9611 SE 36TH STREET | MERCER ISLAND, WA 98040
PHONE: 206.275.7605 \| www.mercergov.org

# TREE INVENTORY \& REPLACEMENT SUBMITTAL INFORMATION 

## EXCEPTIONAL TREES

Exceptional Trees- means a tree or group of trees that because of its unique historical, ecological or aesthetic value constitutes an important community resource. A tree that is rare or exceptional by virtue of its size, species, condition, cultural/historical importance, age, and/or contribution as part of a tree grove. Trees with a diameter of more than 36 inches, or with a diameter that is equal to or greater than the diameter listed in the Exceptional Tree Table shown in MICC 19.16 under Tree, Exceptional.

List the total number of trees for each category and the tree identification numbers from the arborist report.
Number of trees 36" or greater none
List tree numbers: None
Number of trees 24 " or greater (including $36^{\prime \prime}$ or greater) 3
List tree numbers: 2, 3, 6
Number of trees from Exceptional Tree Table (MICC 19.16) none
List tree numbers: none

## LARGE REGULATED TREES

Large Regulated Trees- means any tree with a diameter of 10 inches or more, and any tree that meets the definition of an Exceptional Tree.

| Number of Large Regulated Trees on site | 9 | (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| List tree numbers: 1-9 |  |  |
| Number of Large Regulated Trees on site proposed for removal | 1 | (B) |
| List tree numbers: 5 |  |  |
| Percentage of trees to be retained ((A-B)/Ax100) note: must be at least 30\% | 88 | \% |
| RIGHT OF WAY TREES |  |  |

Right of Way Trees- means a tree that is located in the street right of way adjacent to the project property.

| Number of Large Regulated Trees in right of way | NA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| List tree numbers: | No trees effected by this project |  |
| Number of Large Regulated Trees in right of way proposed for removal | NA |  |

List tree numbers: NA
Reason for removal: No trees to be removed or effected by this project

## TREE REPLACEMENT

Tree replacement- removed trees must be replaced based on the ratio in the table below. Replacement trees shall be conifers at least six feet tall and or deciduous at least one and one-half inches in diameter at base.

| Diameter of Removed Tree (measured 4.5' above ground) | Tree replacement Ratio | Number of Trees Proposed for Removal | Number of Tree Required for Replacement Based on Size/Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 10 " | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $10^{\prime \prime}$ up to 24" | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Greater than 24 " up to 36 " | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Greater than 36" and any Exceptional Tree | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL TREE REPLACEMENTS |  |  | 0 |
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## NARRATIVE

## Scope of Work

You have asked me to assess the current condition of the trees located on the above referenced property and to comment on potential impacts to those trees as a result of your planned residential remodel and the addition of a new detached garage structure. You have provided a site plan, labeled A0.1, dated 06-16-2020.

## Methodology

The methods and techniques used for this assessment are as outlined in Tree Risk Assessment by Julian Dunster and as adopted by the International Society of Arboriculture (ISA). Additional standards, practices and specifications are as detailed in ANSI Standard A300 (Part 9)-2017 Tree Risk Assessment a. Tree Failure. The end goal of most assessments is to provide the owner or manager of the tree(s) with factual information, enabling them to make decisions about the management of the tree(s). For this particular assessment, I used a Level II Assessment that includes inspection of the root collar, lower trunk, and canopy of the tree as can be seen from the ground. Basic assessment does not include climbing the tree or excavation of soils to inspect root structure or condition.

I measured each tree for its Diameter at Breast Height (DBH), an industry standard of measuring trees at 4.5' above grade. Nine (9) trees were tagged with a metal tag for easier onsite reference.

I prepared a Tree Inventory detailing each significant tree by Tree Tag \#, Species by Botanical and Common Name, Size (DBH), Drip-line Radius, Condition, with Comments as needed.

I completed the City of Mercer Island Tree Inventory \& Replacement Submittal Information form and attached it hereto. I also reviewed the City's Tree Submittal Checklist and believe it to be complete.

I red-lined a copy of your site plan to indicate tree reference numbers, as well as the suggested location of tree protection measures (TPM).

## Findings and Observations

I have visited the site three times in the past eight weeks. The subject site has nine (9) significant trees located on-site. There are three (3) trees located off-site but with overhanging limbs. There are many additional Leyland cypress trees that have been sheared and topped in order to form hedges. Because these trees have been topped and sheared, I did not inventory them as stand-alone trees, and except as noted below, potential root system impacts to any of the Leyland Cypress hedges appears to be un-likely.

There are three areas of the proposed construction that may impact root systems of nearby trees. Tree \#5 is a Japanese maple that is located $30^{\prime \prime}$ away from the exiting front porch wood
pillar. Your plans detail that the porch will be extended in this area. Tree \#5 will need to be removed. See Photo below.

There are two trees located off-site (OS) east of the proposed detached garage, Tree \#OS 1 and \#OS 2. The limbs of these two Leyland Cypress trees hang over the property line, where excavation is likely to approach the drip-line or closer. Further comment is provided below.

The third area of potential root system impacts is in the area of Tree \#6 and \#7. Tree \#7 may be located off-site or may be on the property line. I had discussions with the architect about the extent of soil disruption in the area around these two trees. The area is currently covered with large concrete slab sections with additional comment below.

## Considerations

Tree \#5 will be removed so there is no need for additional assessment or comment.

Tree protection fencing for the protection of Tree \#OS 1 and \#OS 2 should be installed at the drip-line of the subject trees or as close to the proposed excavation as possible while still leaving room for construction activities. I would expect that any roots encountered in this excavation cut would be one-inch ( $1^{\prime \prime}$ ) in diameter, or less. Any roots encountered should be cleanly cut, using proper pruning tools and techniques. All exposed roots should be covered with moist soil or compost as soon as is reasonable, following the construction access needs. There appears to be no need to prune the limbs of the trees for building clearance purposes.

The area around Tree \#6 and \#7 is more difficult to assess for potential tree and/or root system impacts. It is reasonable to expect that significant roots are present under the existing slab concrete. The existing support columns for the upper deck most likely have footings that may have roots in close proximity. The only way to really know the extent of roots in that space is to explore the soils in that space. This can be undertaken as a preliminary measure or the assessment can be done at the time of the construction activities. Of primary concern is the need to understand any potential root system impacts that might compromise the nearby trees health or the ability of the nearby trees to remain standing, due to root system impacts. Any below-grade construction activity in this area should be monitored by a tree professional. Encountered roots that need to be removed should be removed using proper equipment and techniques as detailed in ANSI Standard A300 (Part 8)-2013 Root Management. If required root removal exceeds a tolerable level of root loss, resulting in an increased risk of failure, the nearby trees may need to be removed. Removal would trigger mitigation in the form of additional tree planting, whether on-site or off.

## Conclusions

I have completed the Tree Inventory \& Replacement Submittal Information sheet as required by the City of Mercer Island. You propose to remove only one (1) tree. You will be required to plant two (2) trees as mitigation for the removal of Tree \#5.

TPM for the site should be made of $4^{\prime}$ tall orange poly fencing staked into place so as to prevent any access beyond the fencing. No material or equipment should be stored beyond the TPM. Signage should be attached to the fence every twenty-feet (20'), marking the area as a 'Tree Protection Zone'. The signage should be a minimum of 9 " by $11^{\prime \prime}$ and should be weather resistant. TPM should be in accordance with standards as detailed in MICC 19.10.

This concludes the narrative report.

Photo below is Tree \#5, located 30" away from existing porch pillar. Tree to be removed.


This report was prepared by Thomas Quigley, ISA certified arborist PN0655A. Tree Risk Assessment Qualified (TRAQ) by the International Society of Arboriculture (ISA).

| Tree \# | Species | DBH" | Drip-line | ondition | Comments | Rmv | Rtn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Prunus, flowering cherry | 12.0 | 10' rad. | Good | Flowering cherry measured just below stem divide. |  | X |
| 2 | Cedrus Atlantica, Atlas cedar | 29.5 | 21' avrge | Good | Typical of species, long extended limbs. |  | X |
| 3 | Quercus, Pin Oak | 24.8 | 18' | Good | Partially in canopy of nearby Atlas cedar. |  | X |
| 4 | Acer palmatum, Japanese maple | 12.5 | 9' | Good | No construction impacts. |  | X |
| 5 | Acer palmatum, Japanese maple | 11.0 | $10^{\prime}$ | Good | Planted 30" away from existing porch pillar. Construction impacts. | X |  |
| 6 | Cedrus Atlantica, Atlas cedar | 26.0 | 18' west | Good | Will need to be monitored for root sone impacts during excavation work. See written report. |  | X |
| 7 | Acer macrophyllum, Big leaf maple | 17.4 | 15' west | Fair | In canopy of nearby Atlas cedar, may be on neighboring property. |  | X |
| 8 | Fraxines, Ash | 21.0 | 12' avrg | Fair | Not in c=onstruction area |  | X |
| 9 | Fraxines, Ash | 14.0 | 12' West | Fair | Not in c=onstruction area |  | X |
|  | Off-site Trees with overhanging limbs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OS 1 | Cuppreseocyparis leylandii, Leyland | est 18" | 12' | Good | Off-site with overhanging limbs. Will require TPM. See written report. |  | X |
| OS 2 | Cuppreseocyparis leylandii, Leyland | est 21" | 12' | Good | Off-site with overhanging limbs. Will require TPM. See written report. |  | X |
| OS 3 | Populus nigra, Black cottonwood | est 44" | 10-15' | Good | Near the lakeshore, no construction impact, no need for TPM. |  | X |



